v2 | Submitted

A Project overview

A.1 Project identification

Title

Pathways to Climate-Smart Governance

36/110

Acronym

ClimateG0

9/18

Project ID

02C0448

Name of the lead partner organisation

LP01 LAB University of Applied Sciences

Policy objective

Greener Europe (policy objective 2)

Specific objective

Climate change

Project duration

	Duration	Start date	End date
Core phase	36 months	01 April 2024	31 March 2027
Follow-up phase	12 months	01 April 2027	31 March 2028
Closure phase	3 months	01 April 2028	30 June 2028

Is this application a resubmission of a proposal that was not approved under an earlier call?

No

v2 | Submitted

A.2 Project summary

Please give a short overview of the project (in the style of a press release) focusing in particular on the issue addressed, the partnership and the overall objective. Please note that, should the project be approved, this summary will be published on the programme's website. (In English language)

ClimateGO aims to support regions and cities in developing better policies for climate-smart and resilient governance. The project will contribute to the development of capabilities of administration and policymakers to design effective climate-smart and resilient policies, and increase the competence for implementation of them. By fostering understanding, ClimateGO aims to ensure proactive decision-making where mitigation and adaptation measures support each other.

ClimateGO brings together seven partners from six European cities and regions with expertise and desire to change policies to support climate resilience in governance according to EU goals. The project partnership includes different levels of climate governance development and a mix of policy instruments that allows sharing and learning. The project also develops regional cooperation among stakeholders.

Project activities comprise joint development of policies as well as describing regional policy situation and identifying good practices in climate-smart governance. Regional and interregional cooperation are tightly wrapped together through active exchange in form of interregional meetings, discussions, site visits and online thematic workshops. The good practices of climate-smart governance are policy level or practical level solutions. These will be clearly documented and shared for wider EU use.

As a resolute of Olimesta CO the newtoning and their status believes in the bestern annion and an environmental devices and income a

1567/2000

B Partners / associated policy authorities

Overview partners

v2 | Submitted

Partner number t	Organisation	Role	Country	Geographical area	Interreg/ Norwegian funds	Total partner contribution	Total partner budget
1	LAB University of Applied Sciences	Lead Partner	Finland (Suomi/Finland)	North	281,608.00	70,402.00	352,010.00
2	Regional Council of Päijät-Häme	Partner	Finland (Suomi/Finland)	North	124,514.40	31,128.60	155,643.00
3	Alzira City Council	Partner	Spain (España)	South	182,234.40	45,558.60	227,793.00
4	City of Grenoble	Partner	France (France)	West	163,272.00	40,818.00	204,090.00
5	Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)	Partner	Slovenia (Slovenija)	East	150,520.00	37,630.00	188,150.00
6	Košice Self-Governing Region	Partner	Slovakia (Slovensko)	East	127,081.60	31,770.40	158,852.00
7	Waterford City and County Council	Partner	Ireland (Éire/Ireland)	West	163,765.60	40,941.40	204,707.00
					1,192,996.00	298,249.00	1,491,245.00

Overview associated policy authorities

Organisation † Country

B.1.1 Organisation identity		v2 Submitted
Country		
Finland (Suomi/Finland)		
Organisation		
LAB University of Applied Sciences		
Organisation role		
Lead Partner		
Joined project on	Is partner of project until	
01 Apr 2024	30 Jun 2028	
Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regularity		
Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and no	ot through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	
No		

15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

Name in English	v2 Submitte
LAB University of Applied Sciences	
34/200	
Name in original language	
LAB-ammattikorkeakoulu	
22/200	
	$\ensuremath{\mathscr{C}}$ I want to change the partner name
Address	
Mukkulankatu 19	
15/200	
Postal code	
15210	
5/200	
City	
Lahti	
5/200	
Country	
Finland (Suomi/Finland)	•
Nuts 1	
Manner-Suomi	
Nuts 2	
Etelä-Suomi	
Nuts 3	
Päijät-Häme	
If you consider the country or Nuts regions of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.	
VAT number (or other identifier)	
Fl26306446	
10/200	
Website	
https://lab.fi/en	
17/200	

•			9 .	
Legal status				v2 Submitte
Public body or body governed by publi	alaw			
If you consider the legal status of this	organisation is wrong, ple	ase contact <u>info@interregeuro</u>	p <u>e.eu</u> .	
Type of partner				
Education and research institution				
Co-financing rate (%)				
80%				
The department information only applies Department name	to the partner in this proje	ct.		
0/200				
Address				
0/200				
Postal code		City		
0/200		0/200		
0/ 200		0, 200		

	v2 Submitted
Is partner of project until	
30 Jun 2028	
ation (EU) No 2021/1060)	
ot through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	

15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

Name in English	v2 Submitte
Regional Council of Päijät-Häme	
31/200	
Name in original language	
Päijät-Hämeen liitto	
20/200	
	I want to change the partner name
Address	
Hämeenkatu 9A	
13/200	
Postal code	
15110	
5/200	
City	
Lahti	
5/200	
Country	
Finland (Suomi/Finland)	•
Nuts 1	
Manner-Suomi	
Nuts 2	
Etelä-Suomi Etelä-Suomi	
Nuts 3	
Päijät-Häme	
If you consider the country or Nuts regions of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu .	
NAT complete (Amende on interesting)	
VAT number (or other identifier) FI-0215610-5	
12/200	
Website	
https://paijat-hame.fi/en	
25/200	

•		5 1	
Legal status			v2 Submitte
Public body or body governed by public law			
If you consider the legal status of this organ	isation is wrong, please contac	t <u>info@interregeurope.eu</u> .	
Type of partner			
Regional public authority			
Co-financing rate (%)			
80%			
The department information only applies to the Department name	partner in this project.		
0/200			
Address			
0/200			
	City		
Postal code	City		
0/200	0/200		

B.3.1 Organisation identity		v2 Submitted
Country		
Spain (España)		
Organisation		
Alzira City Council		
Organisation role		
Partner		
Joined project on	Is partner of project until	
01 Apr 2024	30 Jun 2028	
Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulat Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not No		

15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

Name in English		v2 Submitte
Alzira City Council	(
19/200		
Name in original language		
Ayuntamiento de Alzira		
22/200		
Address	I want to change the part	tner name
Address C/ Sant Roc 6		
13/200		
Postal code Code Code Code Code Code Code Code C		
46600		
5/200		
City		
Alzira		
6/200		
Country		
Spain (España)		
Nuts 1		
Este		
Nuts 2		
Comunitat Valenciana		
Nuts 3 Valencia/València		
If you consider the country or Nuts regions of this organisation wrong, please contact <u>info@interregeurope.eu</u> .		
VAT number (or other identifier)		
P4601700J		
9/200		
Website		
https://www.idea-alzira.com/		
28/200		

•		0 1	
Legal status			v2 Submitte
Public body or body governed by public law			
If you consider the legal status of this organisation is wro	ong, please contact <u>info@interrec</u>	geurope.eu.	
Type of partner			
Local public authority			
Co-financing rate (%)			
80%			
The department information only applies to the partner in the Department name	s project.		
0/200			
Address			
0/200			
	0''		
Postal code	City		
0/200	0/200		

B.4.1 Organisation identity		v2 Submitted
Country		
France (France)		
Organisation		
City of Grenoble		
Organisation role		
Partner		
Joined project on	Is partner of project until	
01 Apr 2024	30 Jun 2028	
Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulat Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not No		

15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

_ ·, · · · · · ·	· ortal interiog _arope	
Name in English		v2 Submitte
City of Grenoble		
16/200		
Name in original language		
Commune de Grenoble		
19/200		
	☑ I want to change the partr	ner name
Address		
11 boulevard Jean Pain CS 91066		
31/200		
Postal code		
38021		
5/200		
City		
Grenoble		
8/200		
Country		
France (France)		•
Nuts 1		
Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes		
Nuts 2		
Rhône-Alpes		
Nuts 3		
Isère		
If you consider the country or Nuts regions of this organisation wrong, please contact info@in	<u>iterregeurope.eu</u> .	
VAT number (or other identifier)		
FR6F 213 801 855		
16/200		
Website		
https://www.grenoble.fr/		
24/200		

•			· .	
Legal status				v2 Submitte
Public body or body governed by publi	c law			
If you consider the legal status of this	s organisation is wrong, ple	ase contact <u>info@interregeurope.e</u>	<u>eu</u> .	
Type of partner				
Local public authority				
Co-financing rate (%)				
80%				
The department information only applies Department name	s to the partner in this proje	ct.		
0/200				
Address				
0/200				
Postal code		City		
		0.000		
0/200		0/200		

		V2 Submit
Is	partner of project until	
	30 Jun 2028	
egulation	(EU) No 2021/1060)	
nd not thro	ugh Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	
	gulation	Is partner of project until 30 Jun 2028 gulation (EU) No 2021/1060) d not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).

15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

Name in English	v2 Submitte
Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)	
58/200	
Name in original language	
Regionalna razvojna agencija Podravje - Maribor	
47/200	
☑ I want to change the	partner name
Address Pobreška cesta 20	
17/200	
Postal code Code Code Code Code Code Code Code C	
2000	
4/200	
City	
Maribor	
7/200	
Country	
Slovenia (Slovenija)	
Nuts 1	
Slovenija	
Nuts 2	
Vzhodna Slovenija	
Nuts 3	
Podravska	
If you consider the country or Nuts regions of this organisation wrong, please contact <u>info@interregeurope.eu</u> .	
VAT number (or other identifier)	
Sl33925950	
10/200	
Website	
https://rra-podravje.si	
23/200	

= ·, ·····		. c.ta. men og zarope	
Legal status			v2 Submitte
Public body or body governed by public I	aw		
If you consider the legal status of this of	organisation is wrong, please contact <u>info@interrege</u>	urope.eu.	
Type of partner			
Agency			
Co-financing rate (%)			
80%			
The department information only applies to Department name	o the partner in this project.		
0/200			
Address			
0/200			
Postal code	City		
0/200	0/200		

Slovakia (Slovensko) Organisation Košice Self-Governing Region Organisation role Partner Ioined project on Off Apr 2024 Off Apr 2024 Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060) Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	B.6.1 Organisation identity		v2 Submitted
Partner Solice Self-Governing Region	Country		
Rosice Self-Governing Region Organisation role Partner Ioined project on Is partner of project until 30 Jun 2028 Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060) Please select Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	Slovakia (Slovensko)		
Organisation role Partner Joined project on Is partner of project until O1 Apr 2024 30 Jun 2028 Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060) Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	Organisation		
Partner Joined project on Is partner of project until O1 Apr 2024 30 Jun 2028 Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060) Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	Košice Self-Governing Region		
Joined project on Is partner of project until 30 Jun 2028 Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060) Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	Organisation role		
O1 Apr 2024 Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060) Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	Partner		
Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regulation (EU) No 2021/1060) Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	Joined project on	Is partner of project until	
Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	01 Apr 2024	30 Jun 2028	
Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and not through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).			
	Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regul	lation (EU) No 2021/1060)	
No.	Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and new please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and new please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and new please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and new please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and new please select 'Yes' if the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and new please selectly in the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and new please selectly in the partner is financed directly by the partne	ot through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	
	No		

15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

,	
Name in English	v2 Submitt
Košice Self-Governing Region	
28/200	
Name in original language	
Košický samosprávny kraj	
24/200	
	I want to change the partner name
Address	
Námestie Maratónu mieru 1	
25/200	
Postal code	
4001	
4/200	
City	
Košice	
6/200	
Country	
Slovakia	•
(Slovensko)	
Nuts 1	
Slovensko	
olo-tendite.	
Nuts 2	
Východné Slovensko	
Nuts 3	
Košický kraj	
NOSICKÝ KLAJ	
If you consider the country or Nuts regions of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.	
VAT number (or other identifier)	
35541016	
8/200	
Website	
https://web.vucke.sk/sk/	
24/200	

•				
Legal status				v2 Submitte
Public body or body governed by public	law			
If you consider the legal status of this	organisation is wrong, ple	ase contact <u>info@interregeurc</u>	<u>ope.eu</u> .	
Type of partner				
Regional public authority				
Co-financing rate (%)				
80%				
The department information only applies Department name	to the partner in this project	ct.		
0/200				
Address				
0/200				
Postal code		City		
0/200		0/200		
0/200		0/ 200		

B.7.1 Organisation identity		v2 Submitted
Country		
Ireland (Éire/Ireland)		
Organisation		
Waterford City and County Council		
Organisation role		
Partner		
Joined project on	Is partner of project until	
01 Apr 2024	30 Jun 2028	
Partner financed through the Investment for Jobs and Growth programme (article 22(3) (d) (vi) of Regularity	ation (EU) No 2021/1060)	
Please select 'Yes' if the participation of the partner is financed directly by their regional operational programme and no	ot through Interreg Europe (see programme manual for further information).	
No		

15% flat rate for 'Travel and accommodation'

Name in English	v2 Submitte
Waterford City and County Council	
33/200	
Name in original language	
Comhairle Cathrach agus Contae Phort Láirge	
43/200	
☑ I want to chang	e the partner name
Address City Hall The Mell	
City Hall, The Mall 19/200	
Postal code	
X91 XH42	
8/200	
City	
Waterford	
9/200	
Country	
Ireland (Éire/Ireland)	•
Nuts 1	
Ireland	
Nuts 2	
Southern	
Nuts 3	
South-East South-East	
If you consider the country or Nuts regions of this organisation wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu.	
VAT number (or other identifier)	
3263046AH	
9/200	
Website	
https://www.waterfordcouncil.ie/	
32/200	

Public body or body governed by public law If you consider the legal status of this organisation is wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu. Type of partner Local public authority Co-financing rate (%) 80% Department details if different from parent organisation The department information only applies to the partner in this project. Department name O/200 Address O/200 Postal code City	•		ğ i	
Public body or body governed by public law If you consider the legal status of this organisation is wrong, please contact info@interregeurope.eu. Type of partner Local public authority Co-financing rate (%) 80% Department details if different from parent organisation The department information only applies to the partner in this project. Department name 0/200 Address O/200 Postal code City	Legal status			v2 Submitte
Type of partner Local public authority Co-financing rate (%) 80% Department details if different from parent organisation The department information only applies to the partner in this project. Department name 0/200 Address O/200 Postal code City	Public body or body governed by public law			
Type of partner Local public authority Co-financing rate (%) 80% Department details if different from parent organisation The department information only applies to the partner in this project. Department name 0/200 Address O/200 Postal code City				
Local public authority Co-financing rate (%) 80% Department details if different from parent organisation The department information only applies to the partner in this project. Department name 0/200 Address Postal code City	If you consider the legal status of this orga	nisation is wrong, please	contact info@interregeurope.eu.	
Co-financing rate (%) 80% Department details if different from parent organisation The department information only applies to the partner in this project. Department name 0/200 Address City	Type of partner			
Department details if different from parent organisation The department information only applies to the partner in this project. Department name 0/200 Address Postal code City	Local public authority			
Department details if different from parent organisation The department information only applies to the partner in this project. Department name 0/200 Address Postal code City	Co-financing rate (%)			
The department information only applies to the partner in this project. Department name 0/200 Address 0/200 Postal code City	80%			
0/200 Address 0/200 Postal code City		e partner in this project.		
Address 0/200 Postal code City				
0/200 Postal code City	0/200			
Postal code City	Address			
Postal code City	0/200			
0/200	Postal code		City	
	0/200		0/200	

C.1 Issue addressed

What is the common regional development issue addressed by the project? Please make sure this information is coherent with the programme specific objective you selected in section A and with the description of the policy instruments in section D.

The project addresses the urgent need for regions and cities to take proactive action against climate change. It emphasizes the importance of implementing laws and strategies to achieve climate targets while considering implications for society and nature. Beyond the evident focus on mitigation efforts, there is a need to emphasize the urgency of climate change adaptation and preparedness. There are considerable opportunities for regional actors in terms of funding and benefits from climate actions. Proactive adaptation enables larger benefits than reactive action, as both the avoidance of losses and the exploitation of opportunities are more effective. The project partners share a joint aim to ensure that anticipatory understanding of climate change is a central part of future decision-making. Many public actors are still not prepared for climate change nor is the need for adaptation and resilience clear. Preparation of improved climate governance design and implementation are needed.

1000/1000

Why is this issue important for European regions? Please be as specific as possible (data and statistics are welcome if possible).

Climate change is already affecting Europe in various ways, depending on the region. Rising temperatures, changing precipitation patterns, more frequent extreme weather events such as heatwaves, droughts, floods and storms pose significant risks to the environment, infrastructure, and human well-being across the continent. These impacts can lead to widespread damage to ecosystems, agriculture, water resources, and public health. To mitigate the change, reach the climate targets and prepare for multiple impacts, implementation of climate-smart governance need to be developed for actions to be taken. To create a more resilient society, it is neccessary to take a proactive approach, plan ahead, and utilize digitalisation, scientifically supported knowledge and best practices. It is important to identify the most effective and appropriate tools, to ensure readiness and enhance overall resilience. National, regional and local level governance that leads to actions play a crucial role.

994/1000

How does the project contribute to the EU Cohesion policy? Does it also contribute to other European strategies or policies?

ClimateGO contributes to the Cohesion policy through emphasising the importance of climate actions. ClimateGO support specifically the objective 'greener Europe' that covers areas like climate change adaptation, circular economy, and sustainable urban development. As 30% of EU funding will be earmarked for climate action during 2021-2027, it is critical that strong governance understanding on local and regional level support the measures.

The EU is committed to fight climate change through the Green Deal, the Climate Law, and the emissions reduction objectives: to reach at least 55 % by 2030 and achieve climate neutrality by 2050. The European Commission's strategy on adaptation to climate change (2021) sets out how the EU can adapt to the unavoidable impacts and become climate resilient by 2050.

1000/1000

C.2 Project's overall objective

The project's overall objective is to improve the implementation of regional development policies in the field of Greener Europe (policy objective 2), in particular to improve the policy instruments described in section D of the present application.

Please further describe the project's overall objective below.

The objective of ClimateGO is to support regions and cities to develop better policies for climate-smart and resilient governance. The project will develop the capabilities of the administration and policymakers to design effective policies and increase the competence for implementation of them. By fostering understanding, ClimateGO will ensure proactive decision-making where mitigation and adaptation measures support each other.

Sub-objectives supporting the overall objective:

- 1) Enhancing awareness of climate change and its potential consequences, effectively communicating the pressing need for mitigation, adaptation, and preparedness measures to public administration. Addressing interconnected challenges require a systemic approach.
- 2) Supporting public entities in implementing climate policy to improve resilience

995/1000

C.3 Project innovative character

Please explain the innovative character of the project and of its expected results, in particular in comparison to similar initiatives/projects you are aware of.

Climate change has a crucial impact on social, economic and environmental systems. Thus, there is a need to adapt and develop human activities, digitalisation, infrastructure and financing systems v2 | Submitted better adapt to the situation. Climate actions to increase resilience have to be understood better by administration and decisionmakers, so that they can be implemented in practice, at local level in cities and regions.

Climate-Smart governance has not been tackled in Interreg Europe projects. In the 2021-2027 1st call, three projects concern issues related to climate change. The Down-to-earth project focuses on depopulation challenges to improve environmental resilience in rural areas, whereas, NACAO deals with nature-based carbon offsets and NBS4LOCAL focuses on restoring and protecting ecosystems. The

928/1000

For projects deriving from past Interreg experiences (follow-up projects), please clarify the added-value of this cooperation compared to the previous experience.

ClimateGO is not deriving from any past Interreg projects or experiences.

73/1000

C.4 Project approach

Please describe the approach/methodology adopted to achieve the project's objectives within the core phase.

- How is the learning process organised at regional and interregional levels? If applicable, please describe the different steps you envisage to reach the project's objective.
- What is the purpose of the different types of activities proposed? In particular, how do they contribute to individual and/or organisational learning? How are these activities interrelated?
- How do you ensure the involvement of stakeholders in the learning process?

Partners together with regional stakeholders share knowledge and good practices on climate-smart governance to enable policy changes. The project will address the challenges on three levels:

v2 | Submitted

A) REGIONAL EXCHANGE

- The regional stakeholder group is a key in exchange between city and regional level actors, as well as identifying and transferring good practices. The regional stakeholder groups include central actors of administration, e.g., relevant public authorities, municipalities, universities, and also private sector actors to bring in inspiration
- -Regional stakeholder group meetings are organised for knowledge exchange preferably before each interregional event. All regions organise one stakeholder meeting/semester including joint points on the agenda. Based on regional needs there can be additional meetings.
- -Awareness raising and dissemination of good practices

B) INTERREGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Interregional events hosted by each region including policy exchange on challenges and successful governance, round table discussions, site visits to get to know good practices. All semesters in the core phase will have a theme and one partner region/city will act as the semester host. Stakeholders (1-2/partner region) are encouraged to participate in the events.
- Sem1. Lahti, Finland (LP, PP2): Theme: Current state of climate policy and governance in the regions
- Sem2. Kosice, Slovakia (PP6): Theme: Climate policy implementation in practice
- Sem3. Maribor, Slovenia (PP5): Theme: Raising awareness and engaging decisionmakers to achieve climate-smart governance
- Sem4. Alzira, Spain (PP3): Theme: Stakeholder cooperation in climate-smart governance
- Sem5. Waterford, Ireland (PP7): Theme: Lessons learned for designing effective climate-smart policy and governance
- Sem6. Grenoble, France (PP4): Theme: Lessons learned for supporting implementation of climate-smart governance in practice
- Thematic study on current state of climate governance in partner regions applying joint methodology: comparison of policy tools and implementation
- Online workshops/events organised once/semester: interregional exchange of experience on climate policy development and implementation. Events can be hybrid, where regional stakeholders gather onsite and interregional ones join online.

C) POLICY DEVELOPMENT

- Defining methodology and criteria for identifying good practices on climate-smart policies and governance
- Joint analysis of successful climate policy practices based on the thematic study
- Policy briefs based on the study (Sem2) and at the end (Sem6)
- A guide, including an easy to use checklist, for decisionmakers on how best to incorporate climate-smart governance in their sphere of influence
- Sharing good practices with partners and on the Policy Learning Platform to trigger policy changes widely
- Regional and interregional dissemination of good practices in climate-smart governance and policy changes, especially focusing on involving central stakeholders (policymakers and decicionmakers)

INDIVIDUAL & ORGANISATIONAL LEARNING

Individual learning will be supported through active participation of staff members of project partners in regional and interregional cooperation and joint activities. Interregional exchange leads to learning when individuals discover good practices of other regions. Improved knowledge of local and regional authorities, decision makers and other stakeholders lead to increased joint capacity and organisational learning. Through the policy impact of authorities as partners and active stakeholders, individual learning will result in improved policies. Communication between the authorities on project findings is central.

Stakeholders are planned to participate actively in regional stakeholder group meetings, regional dissemination events, online webinars, and 1-2 stakeholders/region will attend the interregional events. They

3999/4000

Please explain the approach/methodology envisaged for the follow-up phase (i.e. last year of the project)? To what extent are the stakeholders expected to be involved in the follow-up phase?

In the follow-up phase, the partners will continue to support regional and interregional exchange, and follow-up policy changes. Lessons learnt and successful examples are utilised.

- Partners will monitor territorial effects of policy changes and can begin to assess the project's longer-term results.
- If some partner has not succeeded in the policy change in the core phase, they will set up an action plan for how to achieve the change.
- The project will continue to communicate to the public about the policy development in the region through social media.
- The partners will look for opportunities to share project results, e.g. events organized by a third party.
- The regional stakeholder groups will continue the exchange by meeting once/semester.
- Stakeholders (1-2/region) are encouraged to attend the high-level dissemination event planned in Brussels during 'The European Week of Regions and Cities'
- Possible pilot actions will be monitored and experiences shared.

988/1000

C.5 Project indicators

v2 | Submitted

Output indicators

Code	RC087
Title	N° of organisations cooperating across borders
Target	48
Code	RC084
Title	N° of pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects
Target	0
Code	014
Title	N° of policy instruments addressed
Target	6
Code	015
Title	N° of interregional policy learning events organised
Target	13
Code	016
Title	N° of good practices identified
Target	30
Result indicators	
Code	RII2
Title	N° of organisations with increased capacity due to their participation in project activities
	40
Performance (%)	83

Code	RI3	v2 Submitted
Title	N° of policy instruments improved thanks to the project	
		6
Performance (%)	100	

C.6 Communication strategy

Please indicate the overall objectives of the project's communication strategy.

The overall communication objective is to support cities and regions in developing their abilities to implement resilient and smart climate governance.

Supporting sub-objectives:

- 1) Sharing experiences on policy, authority and stakeholder levels on successful climate policy implementation
- 2) Improving the administration's capabilities in preparedness for climate change through increasing understanding and raising awareness of needed actions

448/500

Please describe the target groups of your communication strategy.

The target groups of project communication:

- Policymakers and decisionmakers in local and regional governance
- Stakeholder group members
- Staff in partner organisations, also staff not actively involved in the project
- Stakeholders not participating in the project (e.g. other staff members of stakeholder organisations)
- Policy actors/representatives outside partner organisations (local, regional, national and EU level)

428/500

Please explain the main communication tools and channels (including social media outreach) that will be used.

Internal tools: email, phone, online meetings, a shared drive, social media.

External tools & channels (mainly online):

- project poster
- website (activities shared as news)
- newsletters (6 in core phase, 1 in Sem 8)
- policy learning platform (especially good practices)
- social media: main channel Twitter, others TBD
- 6 thematic online webinars
- 2 project videos
- a written guide and a guiding video for decision makers
- dissemination events (regional & interregional, 3rd party events)

497/500

Please describe briefly how the communication strategy will be implemented and evaluated.

The lead partner (communication manager) will set up a scheme to follow up on the tasks of each partner/semester. The tasks and quality of activities will be followed up through continuous monit v2 | Submitted evaluate the achievement of communication objectives.

256/500

Will the management of the project's communication be externalised?

No

C.7 Horizontal principles

Please indicate to which extent the project contributes to EU horizontal principles, and justify your choice.

Sustainable development

Type of contribution

Positive

Description of the contribution

ClimateGO and climate-smart governance supports environmental, social and economic sustainable development. Climate governance, including mitigation and adaptation measures, is one of the most central issues in striving for a more sustainable future. The project will promote sustainability also in practice. Online material will be a preference in communication. The exchange of experience process is planned as efficiently as possible with only one visit/region. Guidelines for sustainable event organization will be followed.

528/1000

Equal opportunities and non-discrimination

Type of contribution

Neutral

Description of the contribution

The project strives to promote equal opportunities and prevent any kind of discrimination based on person's sex, racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, disability, age, or sexual orientation. Equal opportunities will be taken into account at all stages of the project implementation in the projects functions in all participating regions. The project's focus is on climate governance which includes social equality perspectives, that is, promoting equal opprotunities for all groups of people.

500/1000

Equality between men and women

Type of contribution

Neutral

Description of the contribution

The project's focus is not on gender equality. However, as sustainability is in the core focus also social sustainability and gender equality is promoted at all stages of the project and in all of its functional special attention will be paid to situations where imperceptible inequality can easily occur, such as employing personnel, recruiting participants for working groups and inviting stakeholders. The project will prevent any kind of discrimination based on gender at all stages of its implementation.

509/1000

C.8 Project management

C.8.1 Overall management

Please describe how the overall management will be ensured? Which body will make strategic project decisions and according to which rule? What will be the composition of this body? If relevant, how will the responsibilities be shared among the partners?

LAB (LP) will be responsible for projects. In the previous IE 2014-2020 program LAB was leading the successful projects. BIOREGIO and CECI. Project management includes administrative management, technical and financial control, and coordination of external and internal communication. A Steering Group will be responsible for strategic decisions concerning the project.

The following actors will be responsible for the coordination tasks:

A PROJECT COORDINATOR will be responsible for the project management, coordination and day-to-day communication. This includes:

- project partnership agreement
- following the workplan of project activities
- tight cooperation with the project partner's coordinators
- preparing a progress report each semester based on contributions from all partners
- forming the link between the Joint Secretariat and the project

A COMMUNICATION MANAGER will together with the project coordinator coordinate the communication activities. This includes:

- overseeing the templates, online materials, dissemination activities and website activities
- providing guidelines and directions related to communication to the partners
- coordinating and encouraging the partners to be active on social media

A STEERING GROUP will be responsible for overall management and strategic decisions concerning the project. The steering group will meet once per semester, preferably during the interregional event (or online). Each partner will have 1-2 representatives in the group. The chairperson of the group will be decided in the first meeting. The project coordinator will prepare the material for the meetings. The group aims to make decisions based on joint discussion, however, if not successful, voting can be used. Final decisions are made by the lead partner (project coordinator and steering group member).

1941/2000

C.8.2 Day-to-day coordination

Please describe how the day-to-day coordination will be ensured

The project coordinator will be responsible for organising the day-to-day communication between partners. The communication will be carried out through email, phone ´, Teams and social media. Regular online meetings will be organized by the project coordinator between partners with 1-2 months inteval to discuss progress, coordination and current issues.

355/1000

Will the project coordination be externalised?

No

C.8.3 Financial management and reporting

Please describe how the financial management and reporting procedures will be ensured.

v2 | Submitted

The lead partner will appoint a financial manager who will be in charge of financial coordination based on the input from project partners. The financial manager will be responsible for transferring the program financing to the partner organisations, once received from the Programme Authority. The financial manager will be responsible for financial reports, based on information from all partners. The financial manager will work in close connection with the project coordinator, and they will be the link between the partners and the Joint Secretariat concerning financial issues.

583/1000

Will the financial management be externalised?

No

v2 | Submitted

D Main policy instruments addressed

Overview main policy instruments addressed

Number 1	Name of the policy instrument	Name of the policy responsible authority	Involvement of the policy responsible authority	Investment for Jobs and Growth programme	Country
1	Innovation and skills in Finland 2021-2027	Regional Council of Päijät-Häme	Partner	Yes	Finland (Suomi/Finland)
2	Urban Agenda Alzira	Alzira City Council	Partner	No	Spain (España)
3	City of Grenoble - Strategy of territorial resilience	City of Grenoble	Partner	No	France (France)
4	Strategy for the Transition to the Circular Economy in the Municipality of Maribor	Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)	Partner	No	Slovenia (Slovenija)
5	Programme of Landscape Renewal for the Košice Region	Košice Self-Governing Region	Partner	No	Slovakia (Slovensko)
6	Roadmap to carbon neutrality by 2040 - Waterford City	Waterford City and County Council	Partner	No	Ireland (Éire/Ireland)

Overview pilot actions

Number † Title Policy instrument(s) concerned

D.1 Policy instrument 1

v2 | Submitted

D.1.1 Territorial context and general description

D.1.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

Päijät-Häme has high ambitions in sustainability. The City of Lahti was the European Green Capital in 2021 and continues sustainability actions in, e.g., EU Mission Climate-neutral and Smart Cities. The Päijät-Häme Regional Strategy and Smart Specialisation Strategy (2021) highlights crosscutting sustainability: all industries must consider climate change and carbon neutrality in their production. Systematic decision-making based on science would contribute to a faster transition.

Päijät-Häme region has a Climate Action Plan (2020, latest update 2023). Currently the Regional Council is preparing a Green transition programme where boundary conditions are a) biodiversity, b) climate change adaptation, c) social sustainability, d) security of supply. ClimateGO will contribute to preparing for climate change and supporting biodiversity and social sustainability. In addition, a regional programme for climate change adaptation has been approved by the Regional Board (5/2023).

Finland 's national Climate Act (2022) obligates municipalities to set up climate plans. The Regional Council coordinates the regional Climate Action working group gathering the municipalities in the region. However, climate policy implementation on local level need support. The regional council supports municipalities in their climate work and this needs development. There is a need to improve long-term decision-making and increase the competence of decision-makers related to multi-level problem management.

1500/1500

D.1.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

Innovation and skills in Finland 2021-2027

42/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

Yes

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Regional

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project.

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as..

Partner

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

PP02 Regional Council of Päijät-Häme

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

The EU regional and structural policy programme "Innovation and Skills in Finland 2021–2027" is implemented in Päijät-Häme based on regional strategic priorities. The programme supports innovative competence development and inclusion in line with sustainable development. The cross-cutting priorities of the programme are sustainable development, gender equality, non-discrimination, digital development, internationalisation, climate change and innovation. These priorities are part of all measures. Of ERDF funding, 35 % is allocated to climate action. In general, the aim of the Priority axis Carbon neutral Finland is to promote energy efficiency, circular economy and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

In ClimateGO the objective addressed is Priority area 2: Carbon neutral Finland, SO 2.2 'Promoting climate change adaptation, risk prevention, and disaster prevention and resilience'. Climate change adaptation means active preparation for the effects of climate change, anticipation and reduction of the negative effects, and seizing the opportunities created by the positive effects. Adaptation is essential alongside the actions to mitigate climate change. Despite the generally good level of preparedness in society, regions and livelihoods are vulnerable to the effects of climate change.

In addition, SO 2.1. (Promoting energy efficiency and reducing greenhouse gas emissions) and SO 2.3 (Promoting the transition to a circular economy) also support climate resilience.

1484/1500

D.1.1.3 Expected policy improvement

What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?

New projects financed by the instrument

Yes

The policy instrument may provide funding for research, development and innovation activities or quadruple helix cooperation, or for municipalities to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and measures to prepare for climate change, and to promote energy efficiency. It is important to include ambititious goals towards carbon neutrality in municipalities and companies strategies to achieve commonly set up goals as in EU's Green Deal and Climate law, and in national level carbon neutral targets. These different strategies need to be taken into action. Even if the roadmaps and action plans exist, measures to take action need cooperation and joint efforts.

The good practices of the project partners and the ideas gained through cooperation will be used to plan new projects. The new projects will place greater emphasis on interaction, knowledge enhancement, dialogue between decision-makers and citizens, and nature-positivity. It is also possible to launch a special call for projects to fund new projects that fit the theme of climate-smart governance, climate

1271/1500

0/1500

Change in the management of the instrument

No
0/1500
Revision of the instrument itself
No

D.1.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 1

v2 | Submitted

Partner

PP02 Regional Council of Päijät-Häme

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

The Regional Council of Päijät-Häme (RCPH) has an active role in developing the region's expertise in climate governance. RCPH coordinates the regional Climate Action working group. RCPH employs experts on climate change, sustainability, and circular economy.

RCPH is the intermediate body of the policy instrument. RCPH sets up the regional development strategy and its implementation programme as well as coordinates the RIS3 work in the region.

448/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

It is useful to learn from other regions how they coordinate climate work and what kind of policy instruments there are and how these are managed.

RCPH is partner in ongoing LIFE IP/Canemure project (Carbon neutral municipalities and regions), which enables knowledge sharing and visibility also on national level. RCPH is part of the EU's Circular Cities and Regions Initiative (CCRI) network, which tackles circular economy. Circular economy supports climate goals and policies.

The partner aims to develop and support the regional expertise in climate governance, both of policy makers, decisionmakers and public authorities' employees.

643/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

The applications where the Regional Council is involved in this call and in the previous are related to different themes. Regional development covers many sectors, so it is good to have different themes and perspectives to develop the region in diverse manner. There is a need to benchmark, share and learn good practices and to discuss with various experts in versatile mode to reach the needed knowledge in the times of multi crisis to find the best ways towards sustainability in regions.

The Regional Council is the lead partner of LOTTI, funded in the 1st call. The other project applications that the Regional Council is involved in as a partner for the 2nd are STEP-UP, DeCo, ACTIVE ID. If needed, the Regional Council will employ new experts to be able to manage all projects.

D.1.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 1

v2 | Submitted

Partner

LP01 LAB University of Applied Sciences

What is the policy relevance of this partner (the link to the authority responsible for the policy instrument addressed and its capacity to influence this instrument)?

LAB University of Applied Sciences (former Lahti UAS) has over 25 years history in research, development and innovation (RDI). LAB is a higher education institution, part of the LUT Group with Lappenranta-Lahti University of Technology as the head organisation. According to Finnish legislation, the role of Universities of Applied Sciences' is to promote regional development. LAB operates in two locations, one of them being Lahti/Päijät-Häme.

Cooperation with Päijät-Häme Regional Council is tight, e.g., through several cooperation groups and implementation of cooperation in RDI projects. LAB's experts are active in preparations of regional programs and strategies, e.g., Regional Strategy, Climate Action Plan and Green transition programme.

LAB is the lead partner in most of the regional level RDI projects in the area. LAB has the administrative capacity to take main responsibility for project management and implementation in the region, and to

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

LAB is involved in over 40 local, regional, national and international projects in the field of sustainability/circular economy/climate change. They are based on cooperation with stakeholders like regional and municipal authorities, higher education institutions, regional development corporations, as well as public and private companies.

LAB has well-developed expertise in climate change mitigation and sustainability development in the region, including implementation of strategic and practice-oriented research and developmen activities. LAB has experience also in supporting the strategic development of sustainability and carbon neutrality, e.g. through involvement in regional strategy processes.

Related to the issue addressed the annual volume of sustainability and supporting circular economy research and development activities is about 3 M€.

858/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

- Knowledge of good examples and practices of climate-smart governance in other regions
- Benchmarking the role of higher education institutions and research institutes in supporting the climate-smart governance
- New ideas for local and regional climate projects to boost policy development, system level change and more tightly involving the decisionmakers.

359/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

LAB's research, development and innovation (RDI) key competence areas are: Circular economy, Design, Innovations and Health. In 2022, the volume of LAB's RDI funding was 10.2 M€. The projects are implemented on local, regional, national and interregional levels. LAB has about 500 staff members. As LAB is a big organisation, multiple involvement is possible from both expertise and administrative perspectives. Experts from different competence areas have been involved in preparing applications for the Interreg Europe 2nd call (e.g., DeCo, ACTIVE ID, KnowledgeAnalytics).

From the projects approved in the 1st call LAB is leading the project CITISYSTEM, and a partner in CEI BOOST and NEAR.

D.1.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 1

v2 | Submitted

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 8 entries.
LUT University
14/300
Päijät-Häme Joint Authority for Health and Wellbeing
52/300
Municipality of litti
21/300
Regional cooperative store Osuuskauppa Hämeenmaa
48/300
City of Heinola
15/300
City of Lahti
13/300
Päijät-Häme Regional Board
26/300
ELY-Centre, Centre for Economic Development, Transport and the Environment
74/300

https://portal.interregeurope.eu/projects/936/print-view

D.1.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

v2 | Submitted

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No

D.2 Policy instrument 2

v2 | Submitted

D.2.1 Territorial context and general description

D.2.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

In June 2022, the European Commission pointed Valencian Region as one that should be adapted to climate change effects in 2030. To achieve that goal, cities play an essential role as they are key agents of change in addressing local needs. Similarly, the political arena and academic research have brought attention to the concept of smart cities, emphasizing the significance and value of information and communication technologies. Aligned with this, Alzira has developed, by collecting real-time information and utilizing advanced mathematical models, the analysis of its urban sanitation and drainage network in the face of floods, plus an early warning system.

Consequently, Alzira is taking steps towards smart adaptation to climate risks and its undesired impacts. Alzira has suffered from extreme heatwaves and devastating droughts to wildfires since its foundation and, most probably, climate change will accelerate and increase these episodes. For instance, between 2018 and 2020 rain alerts increased up to 4 extreme episodes. Moreover, during the democratic participation process for the initial assessment of the Agenda Urbana, the Future Climate priority, highlighting the importance to provide a proper reply to climate change risks got the highest

1486/1500

D.2.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

Urban Agenda Alzira

19/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

No

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Local

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project:

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as..

Partner

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

PP03 Alzira City Council

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

The Urban Agenda Alzira was developed in 4 stages, starting with a Diagnosis, followed by a Strategic Planning that resulted in an Action Plan plus an Assessment and Monitoring Plan. To provide all v2 | Submitted accurate response to the identified challenges (up to 26, e.g., multifunctional mitigation measures to better resist extreme events, fostering a Smart City Strategy with an Open Government, new technologies and TICs, etc.), the Strategic Planning listed 10 Strategic Objectives plus 10 Strategic Action Lines (LEA) that should be developed through 14 projects(PE).

Among them, related to ClimateGO are: LEA1, Green and Blue infrastructures to foster Climate Change Adaptation and resilience; LEA2, Recovery and Evaluation of natural, urban and cultural heritage, that also includes enhancing resilience versus Climate Change plus increasing flexibility and simplification during the management process; LEA5, that focus on Urban Metabolism & Circularity, fostering a knowledge-based society and smart-city development; LEA7, Social Innovation, that entails securing civic engagement and multi-level governance; and finally, LEA10, Public Innovation and Governance enhancement, that will foster climate-smart governance, digital administration plus awareness campaigns. Four of the projects are connected either to smart governance or climate: PE1, Alzira Climate Future; PE2, Green Ring and Future River; PE13, Efficient and Online Government; and PE14, Conecta Alzira, smart and sustainable territory.

1500/1500

D.2.1.3 Expected policy improvement

What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?

New projects financed by the instrument

No No	

0/1500

Change in the management of the instrument

Yes

Considering that the policy instrument was recently approved and an internal change in the management of the policy instrument has also started recently, it is highly likely that new projects will be planned based on it. New approaches and change in the management of the instrument can be embraced by drawing on the insights gained from other regions during the ClimateGO project lifetime and that could perfectly result in the introduction of new criteria for the selection of related projects depending on the lessons learned.

529/1500

Revision of the instrument itself

Yes

The revision of the instrument itself is a feasible option. From a technical point of view, the instrument is not a binding one and therefore does not develop or entails synergies with other compulsory tools (e.g., Urban Plan, Management Flood Risk Plan). However, this municipal strategy could be smoothly enhanced becoming, if not legally binding as a whole, at least creating those synergies between the aforementioned LEAs and Plans already in place or those that could be developed during the project lifetime, becoming a real tool to build a climate-smart city, in line with the EU Mission: Climate-Neutral and Smart Cities.

As a result for the revision of the instrument, climate-smart urban infrastructure, will be fostered through city governance resulting in a more comprehensive and high-reaching Climate Action Plan. Correspondingly, smart governance can encourage public participation and foster collaboration and partnerships between government, businesses, and civil society. This can bring together the municipal

D.2.2 Partner	(s)	addressing	policy	/ instrumen	t 2
---------------	-----	------------	--------	-------------	-----

v2 | Submitted

Partner

PP03 Alzira City Council

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

Climate change forces regions and cities to act and Alzira has suffered from extreme events centuries before the concept of climate change became "fashionable". Consequently, Alzira has been gathering plenty of expertise, especially during the last years thanks to its participation on several supramunicipal initiatives. For that reason, in 2107, an initial Local Tree Plan was developed. In 2019, Alzira joined the Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy, and its Emergency Drought Plan was approved. In 2021 three more plans tackling Climate Change growing risks, the Flood Risk Management Plan were passed. Alzira became part of the Innpulso Network in 2014 and joined the RECI network in 2019. These networks hold different workgroups focusing on Governance, Innovation or Green city. For instance, an IT system permanently monitors the sewer system and rainfall, especially during heavy rain episodes to provide an efficient and coordinate response.

957/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

As other partners, thanks to the planned exchange Alzira expects to gain new expertise at all levels (local, regional, national, EU) involving the enactment of climate-smart city governance including nature-based solutions.

At regional level we are gathering an heterogeneous group that covers all levels, from small municipalities to regional ministry authorities, each of them holding its own characteristics. E.g., ELS Ports is a rural and depopulated area that can share its recent experience regarding the implementation of a LPWAN network to better control and enhance tourist experience. On the other hand, some researchers from the UPV (University) have been developing studies, using of satellite images to estimate urban heat maps, the impact of city morphology in urban-heat-island effects, that could be spread to create

994/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

This is the only Interreg Europe application we are involved in.

D.2.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 2

v2 | Submitted

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 7 entries.

Mancomunidad Camp del Turia; City council association (Commonwealth)

68/300

Mancomunidad Els Ports; City council association (Commonwealth)

63/300

Conselleria de Agricultura, Desarrollo Rural, Emergencia Climática y Transición Ecológica. Regional Environmental & Climate Change Ministry

139/300

Green Urban Data SL; Consulting services specialized in Smart Cities

68/300

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia. Polytechnic University (Public)

68/300

Fundación de la C.V. València Clima i Energia; Valencia City Council Foundation

79/300

Red Española de Cuidades Inteligentes (RECI); Spanish National Smart City Association

D.2.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

v2 | Submitted

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No

D.3 Policy instrument 3

v2 | Submitted

D.3.1 Territorial context and general description

D.3.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

Grenoble was the European Green Capital 2022, thus, the city's climate ambitions are high. Grenoble is committed to promote actions to combat climate change. In parallel with its policy to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and be carbon neutral by 2040, the city has realised its vulnerability to climate change.

A study of the climate in Grenoble in 2050, identifying effects of climate change on the region has been made, as a base of a climate strategy. With regard to e.g., adapting to extreme heat and heatwaves, the immediate consequences of climate change are already being felt.

With the climate changing, essential discussions on organisation of public services in crises are needed. Structural urban development is needed for adapting.

The difficulty arises from the cross-disciplinary nature of the climate change: many departments should be involved (education, youth, sports, green spaces, food, human resources, health, buildings, etc.) as well as many public reception areas (schools, nurseries, libraries, swimming pools, residents' centres, etc.). There is a need to establish strong links between technicians in the various departments and communication professionals, both in terms of preventive communication and crisis management. As this is a sensitive, high-stakes issue, new to our region, the development must involve all levels of management and elected representatives. A new form of governance needs to be put in place to support the ability to understand the challenge.

1499/1500

D.3.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

City of Grenoble - Strategy of territorial resilience

53/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

No

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Local

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project:

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as...

Partner

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

PP04 City of Grenoble

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

residents to manage climate crises, and to build shared confidence in collective abilities.

The policy instrument aims to provide a long-term framework within which actions will be co-constructed with citizens. The priority of the strategy is to gradually improve the ability of the city and its v2 | Submitted

Objectives:

- 1) To broaden the scope of threats/risks/hazards for citizens, the regional system and the local authority that are taken into account beyond major risks (climatic, shortage, institutional, social and organisational risks).
- 2) Build a strategy that is clear, cross-cutting (not hazard-centric) and user-friendly, which makes it possible to create and/or preserve the ability of citizens and the city to act in difficult situations.
- 3) To secure the city's capacity to lead the transition (climate neutrality 2040) and the capacity of the region and its citizens to adapt and build a fair and shared future.

The priority is to establish a robust ethical and organisational framework, on which the community can base its actions, with the aim of strengthening the ability of everyone to act, starting with the community's employees. The strategy is charachterized by being based on an operational diagnosis jointly developed with the city departments. It contains territorial cooperation at various levels, in order to

1487/1500

D.3.1.3 Expected policy improvement

What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?

New projects financed by the instrument

Yes

At the time the strategy of territorial resilience does not have an allocated budget so one of the objectives for the city is to do so in order to be able to fund projects. With all the experience and lessons learned in ClimateGO, the city aims to be able to finance new/different projects with a dedicated budget. Also with this new engagement we will be able to put in place over time a number of projects that will allow us to respond to the crises and uncertainties that could arise.

- Civil security reserve for inhabitants
- Preventive information: DICRIM, JNR
- Operational Readiness Exercise
- Preparation of an evacuation plan: recruitment of a researcher...
- Installation of the crisis room of the communal command post
- Establishment of advanced stock with identification of needs by sectors
- Strengthening the resilience capacity of our communications media

871/1500

Change in the management of the instrument

No	
0/1500	
Revision of the instrument itself	
Yes	

Since the strategy of territorial resilience is a new instrument and its core feature is the combination of strong principles with soft and adaptable forms of action, it will be possible to revise the instru itself and to improve it thanks to exchanges with other regions about their experiences and lessons learn.

We know we will face difficulties and it will be necessary to adapt the instrument through several situations, in order to achieve our goals/keep in line with our principles. This will be done through time (mid and long term) since other experiences and maybe implementations of the instrument will occur in other regions, and provide feedback and new ideas/solutions.

There was a conceptual work conducted in parallel for the Resilience Strategy that makes possible to understand resilience as what allows individuals, organizations and territories, to preserve their capacity (s) to act in adversity, so the strategy allows to leave a random vision of this notion.

We're ready to adjust and rework the instrument itself as long as all is based on a strong ethics allowing solidarity at all levels (individual, group, collectivity, state....) but especially by answering the triple criteria

- Economy of means
- Concentration of efforts

D.3.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 3

v2 | Submitted

Partner

PP04 City of Grenoble

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

The City of Grenoble pursues a cross-cutting transition encompassing all social, economic, environmental and democratic dimensions and relying on the prime movers of civil society and collective intelligence. Committed to sustainable development for the past 20 years, the territory is now following a happy, energy-saving transition path. In the Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy, Grenoble developed innovative and ambitious projects. The municipality was labelled by the European Energy Award (gold level) that supports municipalities willing to contribute to sustainable energy policy and urban development. At a national level, Grenoble has been a major player in the Eco-District and Eco-City networks managed by the French Ministry since 2009

The team working with the project has experience of academic research in the risk and crisis management field, with participations to european projects, as well as knowledge in operationnal risk and crisis

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

The partner will benefit strongly from exchanges with other regions, about their issues, their solutions and the way they implement them. Indeed, we all face the same kind of problems, even in different institutionnal and territorial contexts.

More, it appears to us that, focused on the work to be done and under the urge of operationnal contraints, we tend to narrow our vision and forget to take some perspective. This cooperation means to us the opportunity to connect, to exchange, with other relevant partners, and though to nourrish our perspective. This is quite necessary, since the challenges and issues at stake are very high for all of us.

653/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

The partner is a newcomer, not involved in other Interreg Europe applications.

D.3.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 3

v2 | Submitted

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 3 entries.

Grenoble Alps Metropolis

24/300

RISK Institute of Grenoble

26/300

University Grenoble Alps

D.3.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

v2 | Submitted

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No

D.4 Policy instrument 4

v2 | Submitted

D.4.1 Territorial context and general description

D.4.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

The Maribor area has in recent years had mayor damage in city and suburbs because of infrastructure not adjusted or built for extreme weather events. Some municipalities in the region have experienced damage in range of 2 years municipal budgets and rely on national help to take care of all infrastructure damage that occurred. This has made decicionmakers aware of the fact that adaption to climate change needs more attention, in addition to the mitigation actions.

In its Long-Term Climate Strategy (2021) Slovenia aims to become climate neutral by 2050. The city of Maribor is committed to sustainability, and has approached the context on strategic level mainly through circular economy. The main goal in the Strategy for the Transition to the Circular Economy in the Municipality of Maribor (2018) is how to innovatively manage the resources in a more sustainable way. Circular economy approach is a central tool for climate mitigation and adaptation. However, now there is a need to upgrade the strategy with more direct actions how to prevent effects of climate change in city and region. The strategy in focus is considered one of best practices in EU on Circular Economy Strategies in Europe, especially from the point of view of implementation measures (9/2022,

1378/1500

D.4.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

Strategy for the Transition to the Circular Economy in the Municipality of Maribor

82/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

No

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Local

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project:

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as..

Partner

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

PP05 Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

The strategic areas:

- 1. Treatment of municipal waste and associated services
- 2. Use of processed construction and demolitionl waste and soil in urban construction
- 3. Managing supluss heat and renewable energy
- 4. Sustainable mobility Urban transport and joint service
- 5. Reuse of recycled water and alternative water resources
- 6. Sustainable management of land and regeneration of degraded areas
- 7. Cooperating economy network

The strategy's main goal is closing the loops in the territory. While most of the focus contribute to climate change mitigation through circular economy, only area 4 and 6 mentions adaptation. Area 4 focuses on smart water economy where it is highlighted that available aquatic resources (including water on the surface, underground water, wastewater and purified water) need to be managed wisely in order to increase resistance to climate change. Area mentions protection against negative effects of climate change.

In adition to climate change mitigation through circular economy we have to improve the implementation in a way to also address adapting to climate change that is affecting our city and region. We must with every implemented project build infrastructure for future challenges that weather and climate shift have already presented.

WCYCLE Institute merged in 2021 with Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor where we continue to work on Strategy implementation with additional work to bring it up to regional level.

1481/1500

D.4.1.3 Expect	ed policy	improvem	ent
----------------	-----------	----------	-----

What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?

New projects financed by the instrument

No
0/1500
Change in the management of the instrument
No
0/1500
Revision of the instrument itself
Yes

v2 | Submitted

In the instrument no direct financial instruments were foreseen for strategy implamentation, activites were planned to be financed through other EU instruments. As starting activity this was enough v2 | Submitted be able to develop constantly we have to upgrade this model to systemic financial tools to ensure continuos developement. With policy changes in EU since 2018 we have to adjust and upgrade also the content of the strategy to bring it up to date, and use this process to further increase knowledge of stakeholders and general public. We want to develop the missing part in the strategy - how to systemicly finance circular and climate change projects and actions.

D.4.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 4

v2 | Submitted

Partner

PP05 Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM) has implemented several governence related projects, e.g., GREENCYCLE in Alpine space program where the circular economy strategy for Maribor was developed. Currently the partner is preparing a demo for nature based solutions for better air quality and has with implementation of several sustainability and circular economy related projects gained expirience and knowledge to take on more complex and difficult cahlenges that lay ahead in cities and regional development. RDAPM has experience on large scale projects from, e.g. Horizon 2020 and UIA.

609/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

We want to gain and share knowledge about climate-smart governance to be able to transfer best practicies suitable for our cities and region into our territory. We also want to share knowledge and expirience with project partners related to synergies with climate change and the EU's Circular Cities and Regions Initiative (CCRI).

330/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

RDAPM is a partner in the 1st call project INERTWASTE. RDAPM has experience in developing sustainability through several projects at same time, and to look for synergies between project implementation.

D.4.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 4

v2 | Submitted

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 9 entries.
ORP Sp. Podravje, Regional Development Partnership - subregion Lower Podravje
77/300
E Zavod Ptuj, private company - partner of RDAPM in several EU projects, till 2023 Climate KIC Hub for Slovenia
111/300
Municipality of Maribor, Slovenia
33/300
Municipality of Ptuj, Slovenia
30/300
Styrian chamber of commerce, Maribor, Slovenia
46/300
University of Maribor, Slovenia
31/300
ORP Slovenske Gorice, Regional Development Partnership - subregion Slovenske gorice
83/300
ORP Prlekija, Regional Development Partnership - subregion Prlekija
67/300
RIC Slovenska Bistrica, Development information center

https://portal.interregeurope.eu/projects/936/print-view

D.4.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

v2 | Submitted

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No

D.5 Policy instrument 5

v2 | Submitted

D.5.1 Territorial context and general description

D.5.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

Climate change is a current concern in Košice Self-governing Region. The region aims to become greener and more sustainable. It has set up a series of strategic documents to support this goal (Adaptation Strategy, Hydrogen Strategy, Low Carbon Strategy, Smart Strategy, Regional Innovation Strategy). Synergies between the strategies are essential. By connecting actors from different areas, sharing experience and proven procedures, integrating individual sector policies, we believe in improving decision-making powers and streamlining existing mechanisms in the region.

Related to climate change adaptation especially water supplies, land alterations, floods and droughts are a concern in the region. Water supply is currently limited and insufficient for long-term needs. Land alterations and changes to the landscape structure have damaged the hydrological functions of ecosystems and urban landscapes. Floods and droughts are becoming the new normal. The abovementioned problems are currently solved sectorally, thus, a comprehensive integrated systemic climate-smart approach would be needed.

1103/1500

D.5.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

Programme of Landscape Renewal for the Košice Region

52/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

No

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Regional

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project:

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as...

Partner

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

PP06 Košice Self-Governing Region

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

1 oral meneg Europe	
The objective of the Programme of Landscape Renewal for the Košice Region is to strengthen preparedness for climate change adaptation and climate-smart and resilient governance. This is curren v2 Subtraction plans.	omi
The current action plan consists of 3 main activities: 1. Management, communication and obtaining new information and fundings 2. Increasing the awareness and motivation of stakeholders 3. Pilot solutions	
The size is far the colour to insulance the color of the	
D.5.1.3 Expected policy improvement	
What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?	
New projects financed by the instrument	
Yes	
Using the lessons learned from interregional cooperation, and active regional cooperation we would like to maximize the efforts to steer finances for the realization of pilots within the Landscape Recovery Programme. Through cooperation and the sharing of experiences from other regions, space will be created for us to discuss new project intentions. The new programming period brings us new opportunities.	
407/1500 Change in the management of the instrument	
Yes	\neg
165	
We would like to bring the change in management of water councils and through improving the governance of the programme we will be able to tackle the challenges related to climate adaptation in our region. We would also like to improve the existing structure of water councils and increase their decision-making powers. We would like to use a participatory scheme in order to start discussions with our water councils about the improvement of the management, and based on their recommendations bring change within the structure. In the self-governing region of Košice, we have experience with the implementation of participatory policies. The landscape restoration program is one of the programs that we have identified for further development from the perspective of new participatory procedures. One of the topics, we would also like to tackle the discussion about the participatory budget scheme for Water councils. Nowaday the allocated budget is used only for the project implemented under the	
1040/1500	
Revision of the instrument itself	
No	

D.5.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 5

v2 | Submitted

Partner

PP06 Košice Self-Governing Region

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

Within the implementation of the policy instrument in focus we are working with water councils. Košice Self-governing Region together with the Agency for supporting regional development and water council are creating the yearly action plan. The action plan contains a list of activities, information on the planned activities of the water councils and requirements for the budget of the program for the relevant year. The action plan in question is subsequently approved by the Košice Region Council.

500/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

Thanks to the project, we will be able to:

- 1. Develop our regional and interregional cooperation
- 2. Improve the management of water councils
- 3. Share best practices and improve our knowledge across partners
- 4. Develop new regional project ideas and steer financing to climate smart solutions

292/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

Košice Self-governing Region is involved in 1st call projects as an associated policy authority. Within the 2nd call we will be involved in two applications. This one, and another one dealing with hydrogen mobility. Both topics are developed within the Department of Regional development. Within the department of regional development we have 29 employees, working on different topics. We have capacities to manage the projects.

D.5.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 5

v2 | Submitted

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 8 entries.
Ministry of Environment of Slovak republic
42/300
Technical University Košice
27/300
Technical University Zvolen
27/300
Prešov Self-governing Region
28/300
Agricultural chamber
20/300
Agency for support the regional development of Košice
53/300
City of Gelnica
15/300
Slovak Environmental Agency
27/300

https://portal.interregeurope.eu/projects/936/print-view

D.5.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

v2 | Submitted

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No

D.6 Policy instrument 6

v2 | Submitted

D.6.1 Territorial context and general description

D.6.1.1 Territorial context

What is the state of play of the issue addressed by the project in the territory covered by the policy instrument? Which challenge needs to be overcome in this territory? Please be as specific as possible and provide detailed information on the characteristics of the territory.

Waterford is the largest city in the Southeast of Ireland. Located along the Suir valley the city has struggled with flooding in the past and looking forward may be impacted by Urban Heat Island effect and pluvial flooding. The city also has a high amount of fuel poverty, low public transport usage, low levels of active travel and a high number of old, energy inefficient buildings. These characteristics of the city are because of successive national and local policy that did not prioritise reducing carbon emissions or preparing for climate change resilience. To correct this the Council set out it's Roadmap to Carbon Neutrality by 2040 in 2021. The Roadmap sets out the actions needed to reduce each citizen's carbon emissions and it details the climate adaptation that will be needed in the city.

Progress in delivering on the actions set out in the Roadmap has been slow. Systems for working in partnership with decision makers in the city are not in place. Climate Governance and collaboration on actions needs to be put in place amongst all decision makers in Waterford City. There is also a need to have clear indicators and stages of achievement for each of the actions that need to be completed.

Knowledge and capacity must be developed amongst all decision makers to ensure that as a city Waterford is prepared for Climate Change. It is necessary to provide training and materials to decision

1418/1500

D.6.1.2 General description of the policy instrument

Please name the policy instrument addressed (for Investment for jobs and growth goal programmes, please provide the name of the operational programme concerned).

Roadmap to carbon neutrality by 2040 - Waterford City

53/150

Is this instrument an Investment for jobs and growth goal programme (i.e. national or regional operational programme)?

No

Please indicate the geographical scope of this instrument

Local

Please select how the authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved in the project:

The authority responsible for this policy instrument is involved as..

Partner

Please select the authority responsible for this policy instrument.

PP07 Waterford City and County Council

Please describe the main features of this policy instrument (e.g. objective, characteristics, priority or measure addressed).

The Roadmap to Carbon Neutrality by 2040 has set a target of reducing the carbon emissions. Action 165 of the Government's Climate Action Plan 2019, required Local Authorities to identify and de val Submitted plans for one Decarbonising Zone. Waterford City was designated as the Decarbonising Zone for County Waterford (an exemplar area where different technologies and methods are used to mitigate against and prepare for climate change), and the Roadmap to Carbon Neutrality by 2040 is the strategy for meeting the goal of Waterford City becoming a Decarbonising Zone.

The Roadmap identifies the actions that must be taken by all in Waterford – public sector, business and by citizens, it sets out the mechanisms for delivery and the stakeholders that must be involved. The target areas are Carbon Neutral Businesses, Carbon Neutral Homes, Carbon Neutral Travel, Sustainable Consumption, Developing Renewable Energy Resources and Increasing Resilience. This project focuses especially on increasing resilience.

The mechanisms for delivery are identified but much more work needs to be done to put in place effective Climate Governance amongst all decision makers in the city, both in the private and public sector. There also must be detailed indicators produced to show progress. So far, progress has been limited in delivering the goals of the Roadmap due to a lack of Climate Governance and joined up action

1486/1500

D.6.1.3 Expected policy improvement

What kind of improvement do you envisage for this instrument?

New projects financed by the instrument

	No
ĺ	
ı	

0/1500

Change in the management of the instrument

Yes

Inclusion of climate change as a consideration and a deal-breaker in all major decisions in the city must be put in place for Roadmap to be a success. Ireland is a small country where decisions are generally made nationally, so this level of local collaboration to achieve a goal is a new for decision-makers in Waterford City and as such the governance systems for this kind of work are not in place yet. Waterford City and County Council hopes to learn from other regions about effective climate governance that will lead to us reaching our goals in preparing for climate change.

581/1500

Revision of the instrument itself

Yes

The Roadmap will need to be revised as the present policy does not include sufficient mechanisms for delivery. There are no financial partnerships and there are no ongoing metrics for each of the 59 actions identified in the Roadmap that will develop a more climate resilient city. The regional partnership needed to deliver the goals set out in Roadmap is not strong enough and it does not have the appropriate governance to deliver on the actions.

Finally, the securing of finance is needed. A strong partnership amongst all decision makers in Waterford with effective climate governance will facilitate the securing of funding. The building of a strong partnership will be facilitated by this project.

D.6.2 Partner(s) addressing policy instrument 6

v2 | Submitted

Partner

PP07 Waterford City and County Council

What are the partner's competences and experiences in the issue addressed by the project?

Waterford City and County Council manages the delivery of the Roadmap to Carbon Neutrality by 2040.

Waterford City and County Council is experienced in delivering EU projects. We have been a partner in many Interreg projects and have a number of successful projects through the European Regional Development Fund. Waterford City is Ireland's oldest city and we intend to be Ireland's first Carbon Neutral City. We have the expertise through the Climate Action Team and through the wider Council operations to deliver this project and we also have close ties with our local University, SETU.

592/1000

How will the partner benefit from the cooperation? In case this partner plays a particular role in the project (e.g., responsible for a specific topic, for communication activities), please also indicate it here.

Waterford City and County Council will benefit from seeing how other municipalities who are further along than us, put in place the actions that we intend to do in Waterford. We will see how Climate Governance is managed in other areas. We are keen to learn from all other partners how they achieved climate governance and we will take those learnings to Waterford City. The Climate Team in Waterford has only been established within the last year so there is a lot that we could benefit from when it comes to learning from other cities.

For the other partners we hope they will be able to benefit from our approach in working with partnership with major decision makers in Waterford City.

691/1000

In case the partner is involved in several Interreg Europe applications/ projects, please justify this multiple involvement.

None involments that the team is aware of.

D.6.3 Stakeholder group relevant for policy instrument 6

v2 | Submitted

Please provide an indicative list of the most relevant stakeholders (i.e., name of the organisation concerned) to be involved in the project. It is recommended to have no more than 12 entries.

You have 6 entries.

Bus Eireann (transport service provider)
40/300

SETU - Southeast Technical University
37/300

Waterford Chamber of Commerce

29/300

IDA - Irish Development Authority

33/300

Southeast Energy Agency

23/300

Health Service Executive (provider of public health services in Ireland)

D.6.4 Pilot action under policy instrument

v2 | Submitted

Is a pilot action proposed to improve this policy instrument?

No

E.1 Core phase (Exchange of experience)

v2 | Submitted

Semester 1 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

ACTIVITIES

A) REGIONAL EXCHANGE

- First regional stakeholder group meetings in all regions (prior to the interregional meeting). Start of the stakeholder engagement. Defining the regional climate policy setting and regional interests and goals.
- Policy level state of art is discussed and defined for further processing at the interregional event

B) INTERREGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Event organised in Lahti, Finland (2 days). Project partners and stakeholders from all regions gather to kick-off the cooperation.
- Theme: Current state of climate policies and governance in the regions
- Presentations from the regions on policy situation, stage of implementation including challenges as basis for joint policy discussion
- Visits to sites/good practices where climate-smart policy has lead to practice, e.g., introducing the concept of 'climate resilience walks' for decisionmakers developed in a regional project
- In addition, organised separately: a short (1-1,5h) online/hybrid thematic webinar with expert speaker for project partners and stakeholders within the semester's theme, aiming for interregional exchange of experience on climate policy development. Webinar includes a brief introduction to the theme and discussion.

C) POLICY DEVELOPMENT

- Defining and processing the joint challenges on climate policy and policy implementation level
- Defining the methodology for gathering data for the thematic study
- Preparing thematic study on current state of climate governance in partner regions applying joint methodology: comparison of policy tools and their implementation. Comparisons also on different levels (local, regional, national, EU). Data collection. Start of capacity building.
- Defining criteria for identifying good practices on climate-smart policies and governance

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Regional stakeholder group meetings: all regions organise one stakeholder meeting including joint points on the agenda (based on regional needs there can be additional meetings)
- Overviews of the regional climate policy situation from all regions
- 1st interregional event: LP and PP2 organise the interregional event in Lahti, all partners, and preferably 1-2 stakeholders/region, attend the event
- Definition of good practices: all partners provide input for the defi nition
- News/summary of the interregional event: LP and PP2
- Online/hybrid thematic webinar organised by LP & PP2

The section about the blood of the section of the s

2647/3000

Communication

ACTIVITIES - Planning a project video

- Developing a joint communication strategy to define tools and activities to support the project's objectives

- Website content, links, and project information on the partners' own institutional websites
- Designing a newsletter template, 1st newsletter
- Social media accounts, linking the project and the partner's institutional accounts
- Placing the project poster in all partner institutions
- Internal transfer of knowledge, capacity building (meetings/intranet posts etc.) for organisational learning: project staff share their expertise inside own organisation

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Detailed communication plan including responsibilities for each partner: LP is responsible for setting up the plan
- Social media activities: all project partners
- Newsletter: LP provides template, LP & PP2 responsible for 1st newsletter, all partners provide content & share
- Plan for the video,LP
- Internal organisational transfer of knowledge: all partners

1000/1000

M	laı	na	q	е	m	е	n	t

Management	
Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project	
N° of interregional policy learning events organised	
	0

N° of good practices identified

0

v2 | Submitted

Semester 2 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

ACTIVITIES

A) REGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Regional stakeholder group meetings in all regions (prior to the interregional meeting). Sharing experiences and outcomes from the previous interregional event.
- Processing first impressions of policy exchange and reflections in own region

B) INTERREGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Event organised in Kosice, Slovakia (2 days). Project partners and stakeholders from all regions gather to continue cooperation.
- Theme: Climate policy implementation in practice
- Presentations from the regions on policy situation, stage of implementation including challenges as basis for joint policy discussion especially with a focus on the theme
- First good practices identified in partner regions are shared at the event
- Visits to sites/good practices where climate-smart policy has lead to practice, e.g., expamples of organisation of local water management governance, practices related to water management
- In addition, organised separately: a short (1-1,5h) online/hybrid thematic webinar with expert speaker for project partners and stakeholders within the semester's theme. Webinar includes a brief introduction to the theme and discussion.

C) POLICY DEVELOPMENT

- Presenting and sharing successful policy implementation, as well as first good practices and reporting them on the Policy Learning Platform
- Analysing the data gathered in all regions for the, thematic study. Processing and finalising the study on current state of climate governance in partner regions.
- Preparaing a policy brief based on the findings in the thematic study

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Regional stakeholder group meetings: all regions organise one stakeholder meeting including joint points on the agenda (based on regional needs there can be additional meetings)
- Overviews of the climate policy implementation from all regions
- 2nd interregional event: PP6 organises the interregional event in Kosice, all partners, and when relevant 1-2 stakeholders/region, attend the event
- All partners identify and define one good practice
- News/summary of the interregional event: PP6 (LP supports)
- Online/hybrid thematic webinar organised by PP6
- Thematic study processed and expert paper finalised: main responsibility: LP, PP2, PP4, PP5, all partners contribute
- Policy brief prepared by partners that are forerunners in climate work and sustainability (PP2, PP4, PP5, together with LP), all partners contribute/comment and share

2443/3000

Communication

ACTIVITIES

- Updating the communication plan & evaluation of implementation
- Preparing & making general project video
- External communication: updating website content, social media (e.g., good practices, thematic webinar/workshop)
- Joint online newsletter prepared and distributed by all partners
- Internal transfer of knowledge and capacity building: meetings/intranet posts etc. for organisational learning where project staff actively share their expertise inside their own organisation

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Updated communication plan, LP responsible
- Video presenting the project, LP responsible
- Social media activities: all project partners
- 2nd Newsletter: PP6 responsible, supported by LP, all partners provide content and share the newsletter in their channels
- Internal organisational transfer of knowledge: all partners

849/1000

Management	v2 Submitt
Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project	
N° of interregional policy learning events organised	
	2
N° of good practices identified	
	6

v2 | Submitted

Semester 3 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

ACTIVITIES

A) REGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Regional stakeholder group meetings in all regions (prior to the interregional meeting). Sharing experiences and outcomes from the previous interregional event.
- Processing the challenges in raising awareness and engaing decicion-makers to achieve climate-smart governance, and solutions to overcome them
- Planning regional dissemination event

B) INTERREGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Event organised in Maribor, Slovenia (2 days). Project partners and stakeholders from all regions gather to continue cooperation.
- Theme: Raising awareness and engaging decision-makers to achieve climate-smart governance
- Presentations from the regions with focus on raising awareness and engaing decicion-makers as basis for joint policy discussion
- Visits to sites/good practices where climate-smart policy has lead to practice, e.g., nature based solutions, or combining mitigation and adaptation measures
- In addition, organised separately: a short (1-1,5h) online/hybrid thematic webinar with expert speaker for project partners and stakeholders within the semester's theme. Webinar includes a brief introduction to the theme and discussion.

C) POLICY DEVELOPMENT

- Sharing the joint challenges in raising awareness and engaing decicion-makers to achieve climate-smart governance and solutions to overcome them

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Regional stakeholder group meetings: all regions organise one stakeholder meeting including joint points on the agenda (based on regional needs there can be additional meetings)
- Overviews of the regional climate policy situation from all regions with special focus on the theme
- 3rd interregional event: PP5 organises the interregional event in Maribor, all partners, and when relevant 1-2 stakeholders/region, attend the event
- All partners identify and define one good practice
- News/summary of the interregional event: PP5 (LP supports)
- Online/hybrid thematic webinar organised by PP5

1957/3000

Communication

ACTIVITIES

- Updating the communication plan & evaluation of implementation
- External communication: updating website content, social media (e.g., good practices, thematic webinar/workshop)
- Joint online newsletter prepared and distributed
- Internal transfer of knowledge: meetings/intranet posts etc. for organisational learning where project staff actively share their expertise inside their own organisation
- Regional dissemination event organised especially involving central stakeholders like policymakers and decicionmakers.
- Preparing and publishing press-release

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Updated communication plan, LP responsible
- Social media activities: all project partners
- 3rd Newsletter: PP5 responsible, supported by LP, all partners provide content and share the newsletter in their channels
- Internal organisational transfer of knowledge: all partners
- Regional dissemination event organised in all partner regions.
- Press release published in all partner regions

998/1000

Management	v2 Submitt
Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project	
N° of interregional policy learning events organised	2
N° of good practices identified	
	6

v2 | Submitted

Semester 4 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

ACTIVITIES

A) REGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Regional stakeholder group meetings in all regions (prior to the interregional meeting). Sharing experiences and outcomes from the previous interregional event.
- Processing the challenges in stakeholder cooperation to achieve climate-smart governance, and solutions to overcome them

B) INTERREGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Event organised in Alzira, Spain (2 days). Project partners and stakeholders from all regions gather to continue cooperation.
- Theme: Stakeholder cooperation in climate-smart governance
- Presentations from the regions with focus especially on stakeholder coopperation as basis for joint policy discussion
- Visits to sites/good practices where climate-smart policy has lead to practice, e.g., the use of digitalisation and monitoring in climate governance
- In addition, organised separately: a short (1-1,5h) online/hybrid thematic webinar with expert speakes for project partners and stakeholders within the semester's theme. Webinar includes a brief introduction to the theme and discussion.

C) POLICY DEVELOPMENT

- Processing the joint challenges on stakeholder cooperation to achieve climate-smart governance and solutions to overcome them

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Regional stakeholder group meetings: all regions organise one stakeholder meeting including joint points on the agenda (based on regional needs there can be additional meetings)
- Overviews of the regional climate policy situation from all regions with special focus on the theme
- 4th interregional event: PP3 organises the interregional event in Alzira, all partners, and when relevant 1-2 stakeholders/region, attend the event
- All partners identify and define one good practice
- News/summary of the interregional event: PP3 (LP supports)
- Online/hybrid thematic webinar organised by PP3

1824/3000

Communication

ACTIVITIES

- Updating the communication plan & evaluation of implementation
- External communication: updating website content, social media (e.g., good practices, thematic webinar/workshop)
- Joint online newsletter prepared and distributed
- Internal transfer of knowledge: meetings/intranet posts etc. for organisational learning where project staff actively share their expertise inside their own organisation

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Updated communication plan, LP responsible
- Social media activities: all project partners
- 4th Newsletter: PP3 responsible, supported by LP, all partners provide content and share the newsletter in their channels
- Internal organisational transfer of knowledge: all partners

721/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised	v2 Subm
	2
N° of good practices identified	
	6

v2 | Submitted

Semester 5 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

ACTIVITIES

A) REGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Regional stakeholder group meetings in all regions (prior to the interregional meeting). Sharing experiences and outcomes from the previous interregional event.
- Processing the challenges in designing effective climate-smart policies and solutions to overcome them

B) INTERREGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Event organised in Waterford, Ireland (2 days). Project partners and stakeholders from all regions gather to continue cooperation.
- Theme: Lessons learned for designing effective climate-smart policies and governance
- Presentations from the regions with focus especially on designing effective climate-smart policies as basis for joint policy discussion
- Visits to sites/good practices where climate-smart policy has lead to practice, e.g., nature based solutions supporting climate resilience
- In addition, organised separately: a short (1-1,5h) online/hybrid thematic webinar with expert speakers for project partners and stakeholders within the semester's theme. Webinar includes a brief introduction to the theme and discussion.

C) POLICY DEVELOPMENT

- Concluding the lessons learned on designing and implementing effective climate-smart policies, supporting for policy changes in all regions
- Producing an online guide and a guiding video for decision makers

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Regional stakeholder group meetings: all regions organise one stakeholder meeting including joint points on the agenda (based on regional needs there can be additional meetings)
- Overviews of the regional climate policy situation from all regions with special focus on the theme
- 5th interregional event: PP7 organises the interregional event in Waterford, all partners, and when relevant 1-2 stakeholders/region, attend the event
- All partners identify and define one good practice
- News/summary of the interregional event: PP7 (LP supports)
- Online/hybrid thematic webinar organised by PP7
- Policy changes identified in the regions: all partners
- A guide and a guiding video for decision makers: PP7 responsible for design and production, all partners contribute with input

2116/3000

Communication

ACTIVITIES

- Updating the communication plan & evaluation of implementation
- Video presenting project achievements in climate-smart governence
- External communication: updating website content, social media (e.g., good practices, thematic webinar/workshop)
- Joint online newsletter prepared and distributed
- Internal transfer of knowledge: meetings/intranet posts etc. for organisational learning where project staff actively share their expertise inside their own organisation

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Updated communication plan, LP responsible
- Video, LP responsible, with help from partners
- Social media activities: all project partners
- 5th Newsletter: PP7 responsible, supported by LP, all partners provide content and share the newsletter in their channels
- Internal organisational transfer of knowledge: all partners

838/1000

Management	v2 Submitt
Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project	
N° of interregional policy learning events organised	2
N° of good practices identified	
	6

v2 | Submitted

Semester 6 (Core phase)

Exchange of experience

ACTIVITIES

A) REGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Regional stakeholder group meetings in all regions (prior to the interregional meeting). Sharing experiences and outcomes from the previous interregional event.
- Processing the challenges in supporting implementation of climate-smart policies in practice, and solutions to overcome them
- Planning regional dissemination event

B) INTERREGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Event organised in Grenoble, France (2 days). Project partners and stakeholders from all regions gather to continue cooperation.
- Theme: Lessons learned for supporting implementation of climate-smart governance in practice
- Presentations from the regions with focus especially on supporting implementation of climate-smart policies in practice as basis for joint policy discussion. Concluding the project
- Visits to sites/good practices where climate-smart policy has lead to practice, e.g., greening solutions, administrative adaptation measures
- In addition, organised separately: a short (1-1,5h) online/hybrid thematic webinar with expert speaker for project partners and stakeholders within the semester's theme. Webinar includes a brief introduction to the theme and discussion.
- Finding possibilities for exchange on European level/events in the field, where the partners could exchange with peers and present project outcomes.

C) POLICY DEVELOPMENT

- Concluding the lessons learned on designing and implementing effective climate-smart policies, supporting for policy changes in all regions
- Preparaing a policy brief based on the findings in the project

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Regional stakeholder group meetings: all regions organise one stakeholder meeting including joint points on the agenda (based on regional needs there can be additional meetings)
- Overviews of the regional climate policy situation from all regions with special focus on the theme
- 6th interregional event: PP4 organises the interregional event in Grenoble, all partners, and when relevant 1-2 stakeholders/region, attend the event
- All partners identify and define one good practice
- News/summary of the interregional event: PP4, LP supports
- Online/hybrid thematic webinar organised by PP4
- Policy brief prepared in ccoperation with forerunner partners (PP2, PP4, PP5), all partners contribute/comment and share
- Exchange on European level according to possibilities/events organised, all partners
- Policy changes identified in the regions: all partners

2456/3000

Communication

ACTIVITIES	v2 Subm	nitt
 - Updating the communication plan & evaluation of implementation - External communication: updating website content, social media (e.g., good practices, thematic webinar/workshop) - Joint online newsletter prepared and distributed - Internal transfer of knowledge: meetings/intranet posts etc. for organisational learning where project staff actively share their expertise inside their own organisation - Regional dissemination event organised especially involving central stakeholders like policymakers and decicionmakers - Preparing and publishing press-release 		
OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS - Updated communication plan, LP responsible - Social media activities: all project partners - 6th Newsletter: PP4 responsible, supported by LP, all partners provide content and share - Internal organisational transfer of knowledge: all partners - Regional dissemination event organised in all partner regions. - Press release published in all partner regions		
963/1000		,
Management		
Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project		
		J
N° of interregional policy learning events organised		
	2	
N° of good practices identified		٦
	6	
N° of pilot actions developed jointly and implemented in projects		
To or prior actions developed jointry and implemented in projects		7
	0	

E.2 Follow-up phase

v2 | Submitted

Semester 7 (Follow-up phase)

Monitoring results of main phase and, if applicable, exchange of experience

ACTIVITIES

A) REGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Regional stakeholder group meetings in all regions (prior to the interregional meeting). Sharing experiences and outcomes from thecore phase.
- Preparations for the final dissemination event

B) INTERREGIONAL EXCHANGE

Follow-up project meeting organised by LP online:

- Evaluation of the outcomes of the project's core phase
- Preparations of the organisation and program for the high-level political dissemination event to be held in Semester 8. The plan is to organise the event in Brussels during The European Week of Regions and Cities.
- The consortium will also look for European-level events in the field of the topic, where the partners could exchange with peers and present project outcomes.

C) POLICY DEVELOPMENT

- Follow-up on policy development and changes

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Regional stakeholder group meetings: all regions organise one stakeholder meeting including joint points on the agenda (based on regional needs there can be additional meetings)

1087/3000

Communication

ACTIVITIES

- Online communication with project partners
- Updating website content and social media with news related to project topic and good practices
- Internal transfer of knowledge: meetings/intranet posts etc. for organisational learning where project staff actively share their expertise inside their own organisation

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Website update, news: all partners
- Social media activities: all project partners
- Internal organisational transfer of knowledge: all partners

502/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

1

v2 | Submitted

Semester 8 (Follow-up phase)

Monitoring results of main phase and, if applicable, exchange of experience

ACTIVITIES

A) REGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Regional stakeholder group meetings in all regions (prior to the interregional meeting). Capacity building through sharing experiences and outcomes from the core phase, and evaluation of the outcomes from a regional perspective. Updates on policy development.
- Evaluation of organisations with increased capacity
- Preparations for the final dissemination event

B) INTERREGIONAL EXCHANGE

- Attending other possible dissemination events, where the partners could exchange with peers and present project outcomes.

C) POLICY DEVELOPMENT

- Follow-up on policy development and policy changes

(-Follow up on action plan implementation if needed)

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Regional stakeholder group meetings: all regions organise one stakeholder meeting including joint points on the agenda (based on regional needs there can be additional meetings)
- Evaluation of organisations with increased capacity: all partners
- All partners contribute to planning and organising the final event, LP has the main responsibility

1054/3000

Communication

ACTIVITIES

- Online communication with project partners
- Updating website and social media
- 7th newsletter, LP responsible, all partners provide content & share
- Internal transfer of knowledge: meetings/intranet posts etc. for organisational learning
- All regions present their best example(s) of climate-smart governance and share them on the project webpage and on other relevant forums
- Organisation of a high-level political dissemination event with all partners and relevant stakeholders. High-level policy speakers and a few best examples of project outcomes are presented. The event is planned for Brussels duringThe European Week of Regions and Cities.

OUTPUTS & ROLE OF PARTNERS

- Website update, news: all partners
- Social media activities: all project partners
- 7th newsletter to be distributed by all partners
- Internal organisational transfer of knowledge: all partners
- Best examples of joint policy development shared online: all regions

967/1000

Management

Ongoing management activities to ensure smooth implementation and reporting of the project

N° of interregional policy learning events organised

F Project budget

v2 | Submitted

F.1 Budget breakdown per cost category and partner

Partner †	Country	Preparation costs	Staff costs	Office and administration	Travel and accommodation	External expertise and services	Equipment	Infratsructure and works	TOTAL BUDGET
LP01 LAB University of Applied Sciences	Finland (Suomi/Finland)	17,500.00	233,086.00	34,962.00	34,962.00	31,500.00	0.00	0.00	352,010.00
PP02 Regional Council of Päijät- Häme	Finland (Suomi/Finland)	0.00	114,341.00	17,151.00	17,151.00	7,000.00	0.00	0.00	155,643.00
PP03 Alzira City Council	Spain (España)	0.00	146,783.00	22,017.00	22,017.00	36,976.00	0.00	0.00	227,793.00
PP04 City of Grenoble	France (France)	0.00	129,300.00	19,395.00	19,395.00	36,000.00	0.00	0.00	204,090.00
PP05 Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)	Slovenia (Slovenija)	0.00	125,500.00	18,825.00	18,825.00	25,000.00	0.00	0.00	188,150.00
PP06 Košice Self-Governing Region	Slovakia (Slovensko)	0.00	110,040.00	16,506.00	16,506.00	15,800.00	0.00	0.00	158,852.00
PP07 Waterford City and County Council	Ireland (Éire/Ireland)	0.00	135,621.00	20,343.00	20,343.00	28,400.00	0.00	0.00	204,707.00
Total		17,500.00	994,671.00	149,199.00	149,199.00	180,676.00	0.00	0.00	1,491,245.00
% of Total budget		1.2%	66.7%	10.0%	10.0%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%

^{*} Real cost, no flatrate

F.2 External expertise and services

Item 1	Description	Contracting partner	Type of costs	TOTAL
1	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings	LP01 LAB University of Applied Sciences	Exchange of experience – meetings	5,000.00
2	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders	LP01 LAB University of Applied Sciences	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	12,500.00
3	regional and interregional dissemination events	LP01 LAB University of Applied Sciences	Communication – events	4,000.00
4	Development of 2 project videos. Communication and dissemination support for project, e.g. newsletter.	LP01 LAB University of Applied Sciences	Communication – material	10,000.00
5	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings	PP02 Regional Council of Päijät- Häme	Exchange of experience – meetings	2,400.00
6	regional and interregional dissemination events	PP02 Regional Council of Päijät- Häme	Communication – events	4,600.00
7	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings	PP03 Alzira City Council	Exchange of experience – meetings	8,733.00
8	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders	PP03 Alzira City Council	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	9,002.00
9	Support in current state analysis	PP03 Alzira City Council	Exchange of experience – external support	4,901.00
10	regional and interregional dissemination events	PP03 Alzira City Council	Communication – events	2,220.00
11	Leaflets for regional dissemination events	PP03 Alzira City Council	Communication - material	120.00
12		PP03 Alzira City Council	Management – expenditure control	12,000.00
13	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings	PP04 City of Grenoble	Exchange of experience – meetings	9,000.00
14	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders	PP04 City of Grenoble	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	9,000.00
15	regional and interregional dissemination events	PP04 City of Grenoble	Communication – events	2,000.00
			TOTAL	180,676.00

Portal Interreg	Europe
-----------------	--------

Item 1	Description	Contracting partner	Type of costs	v2 Submitted
16		PP04 City of Grenoble	Management – expenditure control	16,000.00
17	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings	PP05 Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)	Exchange of experience – meetings	9,000.00
18	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders	PP05 Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	9,000.00
19	regional and interregional dissemination events	PP05 Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)	Communication – events	7,000.00
20	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings	PP06 Košice Self-Governing Region	Exchange of experience - meetings	6,600.00
21	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders	PP06 Košice Self-Governing Region	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	7,200.00
22	regional and interregional dissemination events	PP06 Košice Self-Governing Region	Communication – events	2,000.00
23	interregional partner meetings, regional stakeholder group meetings	PP07 Waterford City and County Council	Exchange of experience – meetings	6,150.00
24	associated policy responsible authority, stakeholders	PP07 Waterford City and County Council	Exchange of experience – travel and accommodation external bodies	11,250.00
25	Guest speaker(s) in the thematic online workshop hosted in Sem5	PP07 Waterford City and County Council	Exchange of experience – external support	1,000.00
26	regional and interregional dissemination events	PP07 Waterford City and County Council	Communication – events	2,000.00
27	Creating a guiding video and written guide (online, possible to print) to successfully engage decisionmakers to ensure they have the information they need. These materials are for the use of all partners.	PP07 Waterford City and County Council	Communication – material	3,500.00
28	Costs for First Level Controller (FLC)	PP07 Waterford City and County Council	Exchange of experience – external support	4,500.00
			TOTAL	180,676.00

F.3 Equipment

Item 1 De	escription	Contracting partner	Type of costs	TOTAL
			TOTAL	0.00

F.4 Infrastructure and works

v2 | Submitted

Create a pilot action in the *policy instrument* section to add entries to "Infrastructure and works".

Item 1	Description	Contracting partner	Type of costs	TOTAL
			TOTAL	0.00

F.5 Project budget per co-financing source - breakdown per partner

Partner †	Country	Total	Interreg funds	Interreg/ Norwegian funds rate (%)	Norwegian	Partner contribution from public sources	Partner contribution from private sources	Total partner contribution
LP01 LAB University of Applied Sciences	Finland (Suomi/Finland)	352,010.00	281,608.00	80.0%	0.00	70,402.00	0.00	70,402.00
PP02 Regional Council of Päijät- Häme	Finland (Suomi/Finland)	155,643.00	124,514.40	80.0%	0.00	31,128.60	0.00	31,128.60
PP03 Alzira City Council	Spain (España)	227,793.00	182,234.40	80.0%	0.00	45,558.60	0.00	45,558.60
PP04 City of Grenoble	France (France)	204,090.00	163,272.00	80.0%	0.00	40,818.00	0.00	40,818.00
PP05 Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)	Slovenia (Slovenija)	188,150.00	150,520.00	80.0%	0.00	37,630.00	0.00	37,630.00
PP06 Košice Self-Governing Region	Slovakia (Slovensko)	158,852.00	127,081.60	80.0%	0.00	31,770.40	0.00	31,770.40
PP07 Waterford City and County Council	Ireland (Éire/Ireland)	204,707.00	163,765.60	80.0%	0.00	40,941.40	0.00	40,941.40
Total		1,491,245.00	1,192,996.00	0.0%	0.00	298,249.00	0.00	298,249.00

F.6 Spending plan

v2 | Submitted

Core phase

Partner f	Preparation costs	Semester 1	Semester 2	Semester 3	Semester 4	Semester 5	Semester 6	TOTAL BUDGET
LP01 LAB University of Applied Sciences	17,500.00	51,890.00	48,891.00	47,891.00	47,891.00	48,891.00	47,890.00	352,010.00
PP02 Regional Council of Päijät-Häme	0.00	22,159.00	22,159.00	24,459.00	22,160.00	22,160.00	24,459.00	155,643.00
PP03 Alzira City Council	0.00	31,949.00	30,037.00	31,367.00	42,563.00	30,197.00	31,366.00	227,793.00
PP04 City of Grenoble	0.00	27,270.00	27,270.00	28,270.00	27,270.00	27,270.00	38,790.00	204,090.00
PP05 Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)	0.00	25,400.00	24,750.00	32,400.00	25,400.00	25,400.00	28,900.00	188,150.00
PP06 Košice Self-Governing Region	0.00	22,148.00	25,948.00	23,148.00	22,148.00	22,148.00	15,504.00	158,852.00
PP07 Waterford City and County Council	0.00	24,600.00	24,600.00	25,601.00	24,600.00	51,490.00	29,017.00	204,707.00
Total	17,500.00	205,416.00	203,655.00	213,136.00	212,032.00	227,556.00	215,926.00	1,491,245.00
% of Total budget	1.2%	13.8%	13.7%	14.3%	14.2%	15.3%	14.5%	100.0%

Follow-up and closure phase

Partner 1	Semester 7	Semester 8 and closure	TOTAL BUDGET
LP01 LAB University of Applied Sciences	14,962.00	26,204.00	352,010.00
PP02 Regional Council of Päijät-Häme	4,672.00	13,415.00	155,643.00
PP03 Alzira City Council	14,346.00	15,968.00	227,793.00
PP04 City of Grenoble	11,080.00	16,870.00	204,090.00
Total	83,421.00	112,603.00	1,491,245.00
% of Total budget	5.6%	7.6%	100.0%

v2	Q11	hm	itte

Partner †	Semester 7	Semester 8 and closure	TOTAL BUDGET
PP05 Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)	12,200.00	13,700.00	188,150.00
PP06 Košice Self-Governing Region	13,304.00	14,504.00	158,852.00
PP07 Waterford City and County Council	12,857.00	11,942.00	204,707.00
Total	83,421.00	112,603.00	1,491,245.00
% of Total budget	5.6%	7.6%	100.0%

G Annexes

v2 | Submitted

Partners

LP01 LAB University of Applied Sciences

Please upload a partner declaration.

PP02 Regional Council of Päijät-Häme

Please upload a partner declaration.

PP03 Alzira City Council

Please upload a partner declaration.

PP04 City of Grenoble

Please upload a partner declaration.

PP05 Regional development agency for Podravje - Maribor (RDAPM)

Please upload a partner declaration.

PP06 Košice Self-Governing Region

Please upload a partner declaration.

PP07 Waterford City and County Council

Please upload a partner declaration.